

Date:	23/03/2023
Attendees:	Saputo Dairy UK (SDUK): Campbell Appleton (CA), Darren Burr (DB), Matt Bardell (MB), Sarah Magor (SM), Julie Baker (JB) Residents: Cllr Julie Downton (JD), Cllr Phil Potter (PP), Andy Gill (AG) Environment Agency: Sarah Taylor (ST) Chris James (CJ),
Apologies:	SDUK: Stephen Cook (SC), Richard Jones (RJ) Environment Agency: Jay Rowntree (JR), Zoe Smith (ZS), Simon Harry (SH)
Time:	14:00
Location:	Davidstow main site and via Teams

Subject	Comments	Actions
Previous minutes	Actions covered below	
Operational Update	CA – Opened the meeting and welcomed attendees. Since the last meeting the site had identified a split in the fresh water supply pipe. The work to repair this had taken a collaborative effort between SDUK and contractors and was completed successfully.	
Water Processing Facility (WPF) performance update	The 12 training modules for the operations team (developed by SDUK’s retained water specialist consultant MSA) continue to be rolled out and have been well received by the team. Plant – Continue to focus on water recovery and significant site work continues. This has included additional assessments for unplanned or inadvertent odour emissions (sometimes referred to as ‘fugitive’ emissions) from Balance Tank 1 by Olfasense and noise surveys supported by SBS and Wakefield Acoustics Process – Continue to optimise the calcium phosphate plant using One Point Lessons to train the team. JD – asked when the noise and odour reports were expected back. MB noted they should be back within the next couple of weeks.	
Previous actions (completed in green, carried forward red)	Action: Present the complaints by area/location at the next meeting. Action: SDUK to review and feedback on any lighting changes. DB – No significant changes had taken place at the WPF, however for completeness AC Burns have been asked to review the lighting to ensure there has been no deviation from the original set points when the lights were installed and this is progressing (feedback to follow). CA – In addition SDUK have spoken with Veolia to assess lighting around the biomass boilers.	SM DB

Subject	Comments	Actions
	<p>Action: Invite for CA to attend Parish Council to be confirmed. Completed; CA attended the last meeting</p>	JD
<p>Projects Update - Odour and Noise</p>	<p>Updated presentation of the timelines for odour/noise.</p> <p>Odour Enabling works surveys have been completed. These works will form the prep work needed for putting the covers on BT2 and the anoxic pits. Also undertaken validation of the scrubbers and the tests for BT1 to assess any remedial actions required.</p> <p>Noise SBS have completed additional surveys. Scoping/planning for the noise abatement wall along the north side of the WPF continues.</p> <p>Envirosuite - The fixed odour monitoring equipment installed by Envirosuite was losing connection (and hence data) with their systems, related to their modems, which is being addressed. SDUK are aiming to complete training on the Envirosuite system for WPF team in the next couple of weeks.</p> <p>AG - asked if there was a significant risk of projects going off track. DB -Noted that there are more detailed project plans sitting behind the summary slides presented and any significant delays will be flagged to the group. AG - asked if preliminary discussions had started with local authority Planning team. DB/MB - The Project Engineer who is managing this work has been in touch with Planning supported by our specialist planning consultants.</p> <p>CA noted that the low frequency noise assessment report was to be sent to the EA. AG noted a historic issue associated with the biomass boilers.</p> <p>Action: Low frequency Noise report to be sent to the EA</p>	SDUK
<p>Odour/noise</p>	<p>SM shared a summary of complaints received by location between 21 Feb 23 and 20 Mar Feb 23 comprising:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12 odour (including 2 as odour/noise combined and 4 direct calls from residents) • 2 noise <p>SM acknowledged 1 resident call (PP) was omitted off map, (now included in the numbers above). AG - queried the cause of the odour.</p>	

Subject	Comments	Actions
	<p>CA - Believes it was related to BT2 but awaiting the telemetry to confirm. The purchased handheld monitor will support mobile assessments undertaken by the Creamery team.</p> <p>A wider discussion followed on what levels of odour emissions are considered acceptable or not acceptable with AG suggesting that an Attribute Agreement Analysis might be considered.</p> <p>ST - The planned measures (i.e. odour reduction projects) would need to be resolved before anything else could be measured. The EA would not typically apply numerical limits other than for specific emission points.</p>	
Residents' feedback	<p>AG - no more to add</p> <p>PP - Feedback from neighbours has been fairly positive with a view that odour has improved other than occasional transient smell (other than one occasion when PP had called the site). Noise levels very much depend on where you are and can be changeable.</p> <p>Lighting - some have noted that when foggy there can be a reflection.</p> <p>PP - the Inny Vale manhole - a neighbour has asked what the scaffold is for?</p> <p>DB - A flow restriction in the pipeline downstream of this manhole has been identified which is understood to cause the manhole to overflow with treated water from the WPF. The temporary scaffolding is associated with work to address this.</p> <p>JD - Had been dealing with a lighting issue but it was related to the abattoir further along Blacka Lane.</p>	
EA feedback	<p>ST - Since the last meeting both ST and SH had inspected the WPF and were impressed with measures taken to manage changes in effluent quality. They had noted some brief odour at the top of the track to the WPF and on site.</p> <p>ST - has also visited the main site boilers to develop understanding further.</p> <p>ST - outlined that in relation to the Saputo application for a variation to Permit the EA was preparing for some Public Consultation and are looking to the community for support in engaging as many people as possible particularly for those without internet access. Davidstow site is considered of high public interest and this was an additional layer of consultation. There would be a few weeks to provide feedback.</p> <p>CJ - supported ST comments around names for consultation and mindful of GDPR requirements.</p> <p>PP and JD - ZS of the EA engagement team had been in touch with the Parish Council and they agreed to support identifying parties for communications.</p>	

Subject	Comments	Actions
AOB	<p>CA - Arrangements have been made to have the minutes housed on the Davidstow website forum and approval is being sought to share the Powerpoint slides regarding WPF projects.</p> <p>Action - Minutes to be shared on Davidstow forum via the Parish Council.</p> <p>PP - Raised that a litter pick had been proposed to be carried out with residents and Saputo staff. Supported by CA.</p> <p>Action: Liaise to arrange a litter pick in area to be confirmed.</p>	<p>JD</p> <p>CA/PP</p>
Meeting Closed	14:55	
Next Meeting	20 April 2023 at 14:00 at Davidstow site and on Teams	